

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/673,002	09	/26/2003	John V.H. Roberts	02029US	9752
7:	590	09/15/2005		EXAMINER	
Rodel Holding	gs, Inc.		RACHUBA, MAURINA T		
Suite 1300 1105 North Ma	rket Stree	et		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Wilmington, DE 19899				3723	
				DATE MAILED: 09/15/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				١,				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/673,002	ROBERTS ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		M Rachuba	3723	•				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
WHIC - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAMPS on a string of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Ju	ıly 2005.						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)□	•							
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 48	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7,8 and 10</u> is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-6.9</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	ndrawn from consideration.						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)□ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
2) Notice (3) Information	et(s) Dee of References Cited (PTO-892) Dee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Description Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Decription Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Decription Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/673,002

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 7, 8 and 10 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or
 linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 20 January 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation

Application/Control Number: 10/673,002

Art Unit: 3723

under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 1-6 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Allison et al, US 20040102137A1 in view of Applicant's admitted prior art, as set forth in the Office action mailed 31 March 2005.

Response to Amendment

- 6. The affidavit filed on 05 July 2005 under 37 CFR 1.68 (considered to be filed under 37 CFR 1.131) has been considered but is ineffective to overcome the Allison reference.
- The evidence submitted is insufficient to establish diligence from a date prior to the date of reduction to practice of the Allison reference to either a constructive reduction to practice or an actual reduction to practice. Please refer to MPEP 2138.05, that states in part: "Applicant is required to account for the entire period during which diligence is required. Gould v. Schawlow, 363 F.2d 908, 919, 150 USPQ 634, 643 (CCPA 1966) (Merely stating that there were no weeks or months that the invention was not worked on is not enough.); In re Harry, 333 F.2d 920, 923, 142 USPQ 164, 166 (CCPA 1964) (statement that the subject matter "was diligently reduced to practice" is not a showing but a mere pleading). A 2-day period lacking activity has been held to be

Application/Control Number: 10/673,002 Page 4

Art Unit: 3723

fatal. In re Mulder, 716 F.2d 1542, 1545, 219 USPQ 189, 193 (Fed. Cir. 1983) (37 CFR 1.131 issue)."

- 8. Applicant provides a calendar entry and notes from a meeting held 18 September 2002, and then provides a memo prepared 08 October, 2002 summarizing research results. Further, there are copies of e-mails dated 26 September 2002, 02 October 2002 and 03 October 2002. There is no showing of diligence between 18 September 2002 and 26 September 2002, and between 26 September and 02 October 2002, either in the form of evidence or sworn statements as to the activities that would support diligence. MPEP 2183.05 further states "The period during which diligence is required must be accounted for by either affirmative acts or acceptable excuses. Rebstock v. Flouret, 191 USPQ 342, 345 (Bd. Pat. Inter. 1975); Anderson v. Crowther, 152 USPQ 504, 512 (Bd. Pat. Inter. 1965) (preparation of routine periodic reports covering all accomplishments of the laboratory insufficient to show diligence)". As the date to be overcome is 25 September, 2002, applicant must provide evidence showing due diligence to overcome the rejection.
- 9. The examiner agrees that applicant showed evidence of due diligence between the filing of the invention disclosure on 09 October 2002 with the Rohm and Hass Electronic Materials CMP Inc., law department, to filing of the patent application 26 September 2003, but such evidence has not been formally filed.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments filed 05 July 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Please refer to the discussion of the affidavit above.

Application/Control Number: 10/673,002

Art Unit: 3723

Conclusion

11. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M Rachuba whose telephone number is 571-272-4493. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on 571-272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/673,002

Art Unit: 3723

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M Rachuba Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3723